

# Modal Logic 1

# Lecture Contents

- ▶ Propositional modal Languages
- ▶ Interpretations of modal operators
- ▶ The notion of a structure and a valuation
- ▶ Simple semantics for S5
- ▶ Logical truths of S5

# Propositional logic

- ▶ A simple language of propositional logic:

$$A ::= p \mid \neg A \mid B \rightarrow C \mid B \wedge C \mid B \vee C$$

- ▶ We could, of course, make do with just implication and negation, or even implication and absurdity:  $\perp$ .

# Propositional modal logic

- ▶ A simple language of propositional modal logic:

$$A ::= p \mid \neg A \mid B \rightarrow C \mid B \wedge C \mid B \vee C \mid \Box A \mid \Diamond A$$

- ▶ Again, we could make do with only  $\Box$  and define:

$$\Diamond A \equiv \neg \Box \neg A$$

## Interpretations of the box operator

$\Box A$	$\Diamond A$
<p>It is necessary that <math>A</math></p> <p>It will always be that <math>A</math></p> <p>It is known that <math>A</math></p> <p>It is obligatory that <math>A</math></p> <p><math>A</math> is provable in <math>PA</math></p> <p>The program terminates with <math>A</math></p> <p><math>A</math> is a rational belief</p>	

## Interpretations of the box operator

$\Box A$	$\Diamond A$
<p>It is necessary that <math>A</math></p> <p>It will always be that <math>A</math></p> <p>It is known that <math>A</math></p> <p>It is obligatory that <math>A</math></p> <p><math>A</math> is provable in <math>PA</math></p> <p>The program terminates with <math>A</math></p> <p><math>A</math> is a rational belief</p>	<p>It is possible that <math>A</math></p>

# Interpretations of the box operator

$\Box A$	$\Diamond A$
<p>It is necessary that <math>A</math></p> <p>It will always be that <math>A</math></p> <p>It is known that <math>A</math></p> <p>It is obligatory that <math>A</math></p> <p><math>A</math> is provable in <math>PA</math></p> <p>The program terminates with <math>A</math></p> <p><math>A</math> is a rational belief</p>	<p>It is possible that <math>A</math></p> <p>It will be that <math>A</math> [non-branching]</p>

# Interpretations of the box operator

$\Box A$	$\Diamond A$
<p>It is necessary that <math>A</math></p> <p>It will always be that <math>A</math></p> <p>It is known that <math>A</math></p> <p>It is obligatory that <math>A</math></p> <p><math>A</math> is provable in <math>PA</math></p> <p>The program terminates with <math>A</math></p> <p><math>A</math> is a rational belief</p>	<p>It is possible that <math>A</math></p> <p>It will be that <math>A</math> [non-branching]</p> <p>It is conceivable that <math>A</math></p>



# Interpretations of the box operator

$\Box A$	$\Diamond A$
<p>It is necessary that <math>A</math></p> <p>It will always be that <math>A</math></p> <p>It is known that <math>A</math></p> <p>It is obligatory that <math>A</math></p> <p><math>A</math> is provable in <math>PA</math></p> <p>The program terminates with <math>A</math></p> <p><math>A</math> is a rational belief</p>	<p>It is possible that <math>A</math></p> <p>It will be that <math>A</math> [non-branching]</p> <p>It is conceivable that <math>A</math></p> <p>It is permissible that <math>A</math></p>

# Interpretations of the box operator

$\Box A$	$\Diamond A$
It is necessary that $A$ It will always be that $A$ It is known that $A$ It is obligatory that $A$ $A$ is provable in $PA$ The program terminates with $A$ $A$ is a rational belief	It is possible that $A$ It will be that $A$ [non-branching] It is conceivable that $A$ It is permissible that $A$ $A$ is consistent with $PA$

## Interpretations of the box operator

$\Box A$	$\Diamond A$
It is necessary that $A$ It will always be that $A$ It is known that $A$ It is obligatory that $A$ $A$ is provable in $PA$ The program terminates with $A$ $A$ is a rational belief	It is possible that $A$ It will be that $A$ [non-branching] It is conceivable that $A$ It is permissible that $A$ $A$ is consistent with $PA$ The program might output $A$

# Interpretations of the box operator

$\Box A$	$\Diamond A$
It is necessary that $A$	It is possible that $A$
It will always be that $A$	It will be that $A$ [non-branching]
It is known that $A$	It is conceivable that $A$
It is obligatory that $A$	It is permissible that $A$
$A$ is provable in $PA$	$A$ is consistent with $PA$
The program terminates with $A$	The program might output $A$
$A$ is a rational belief	$A$ is plausible

# Semantics

- ▶ A semantics offers an interpretation of the vocabulary of the language.
- ▶ Standardly, a semantics tells us under what conditions sentences are *true*.
- ▶ For example, truth tables tell us that  $A \rightarrow B$  is true when  $A$  is false or  $B$  is true.

# Semantics of Propositional Logic

- ▶ A *valuation*  $v$  is an assignment of truth values ( $T, F$ ) to atomic propositions  $p, q, \dots$ .
- ▶ A valuation is like a line in the truth table (but only under the atomic proposition letters).
- ▶ In the case of propositional logic, we can now characterise the truth of any sentence under a valuation:

$$\begin{aligned}\vDash^v p & \quad \text{iff} \quad v(p) = T \\ \vDash^v A \rightarrow B & \quad \text{iff} \quad \not\vDash^v A \text{ or } \vDash^v B \\ \vDash^v A \wedge B & \quad \text{iff} \quad \vDash^v A \text{ and } \vDash^v B \\ \vDash^v \neg A & \quad \text{iff} \quad \not\vDash^v A\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ This is a generalisation of truth tables. We can read  $\vDash^v A$  as meaning that  $A$  is true under valuation  $v$ .

## Semantics of $S5$ : structures and valuations

- ▶  $S5$  is a simple modal logic, it is often taken to be the logic of metaphysical necessity.
- ▶ A *structure* for  $S5$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_5$  is a just set of *worlds*.
- ▶ The worlds have no internal structure, in fact, they don't even have to be worlds, they could be dots, spacial points, beer glasses etc.
- ▶ A valuation  $v$  assigns a subset of  $\mathcal{S}_5$  to each atomic proposition  $p$ .
- ▶ Think of  $v$  as specifying, for each *atomic* proposition, in which worlds it is true.

# Semantics of S5

- ▶ Given a structure  $\mathcal{S}_5$  and a valuation  $v$ , we can characterise the truth of any sentence at a world  $s \in \mathcal{S}_5$ :

$\mathcal{S}_5 \models_s^v p$	iff	$s \in v(p)$
$\mathcal{S}_5 \models_s^v A \rightarrow B$	iff	$\mathcal{S}_5 \not\models_s^v A$ or $\mathcal{S}_5 \models_s^v B$
$\mathcal{S}_5 \models_s^v A \wedge B$	iff	$\mathcal{S}_5 \models_s^v A$ and $\mathcal{S}_5 \models_s^v B$
$\mathcal{S}_5 \models_s^v \neg A$	iff	$\mathcal{S}_5 \not\models_s^v A$
$\mathcal{S}_5 \models_s^v \Box A$	iff	$\mathcal{S}_5 \models_t^v A$ for all $t \in \mathcal{S}_5$
$\mathcal{S}_5 \models_s^v \Diamond A$	iff	$\mathcal{S}_5 \models_t^v A$ for some $t \in \mathcal{S}_5$

- ▶ We can read  $\mathcal{S}_5 \models_s^v A$  as meaning that  $A$  is true at world  $s$  under valuation  $v$  (in the structure  $\mathcal{S}_5$ ).



## Some definitions

- ▶  $\mathcal{S}_5 \models_s^v A$  means that  $A$  is true at the world  $s$  under valuation  $v$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{S}_5 \models^v A$  means that  $A$  is true at *every* world, under  $v$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{S}_5 \models A$  means that  $A$  is true at *every* world, under *any*  $v$   
 *$A$  is true in the structure  $\mathcal{S}_5$*
- ▶  $\models A$ , or  $S5 \models A$ , means that  $A$  is true in every  $S5$  structure, i.e.  $A$  is a logical truth of  $S5$ .

## Some logical truths of $S5$

- ▶  $\Box A \rightarrow A$
- ▶  $\Box A \leftrightarrow \Box \Box A$
- ▶  $\Box A \rightarrow \neg \Box \neg A$
- ▶  $A \rightarrow \Diamond A$
- ▶  $\Box A \rightarrow \Box \Diamond A$
- ▶  $\Box(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\Box A \rightarrow \Box B)$
- ▶  $\Box(A \wedge B) \leftrightarrow (\Box A \wedge \Box B)$

## Some non-truths of S5

- ▶  $A \rightarrow \Box A$
- ▶  $\Diamond A \rightarrow \Box A$
- ▶  $\Diamond A \rightarrow A$
- ▶  $\Box \Diamond A \rightarrow \Box A$
- ▶  $\Diamond(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\Diamond A \rightarrow \Diamond B)$
- ▶  $\Diamond(A \wedge B) \leftrightarrow (\Diamond A \wedge \Diamond B)$