

PHILOSOPHY TRIPOS Part IA

Monday 27 May 2002

9 to 12

Paper 2

ETHICS

*Answer **four** questions only.*

Write the number of the question at the beginning of each answer.

**You may not start to read the questions
printed on the subsequent pages of this
question paper until instructed that you
may do so by the Invigilator**

- 1 Can an emotivist account of ethical judgement be defended?
- 2 What is wrong with defining 'good'?
- 3 What does the existence and nature of ethical disagreement show about the objectivity of ethical judgement?
- 4 What can we say to the amoralist to convince her to be moral?
- 5 What is the relationship between rights and duties?
- 6 Is consequentialism consistent with the existence of absolute rights?
- 7 Is happiness the maximisation of pleasure?
- 8 Are all charity workers egoists?
- 9 Must we adopt a retributivist account of punishment in order to condemn the sacrifice of innocent scapegoats?
- 10 'Rule utilitarianism overcomes the main objections levelled against act utilitarianism only to incur more serious difficulties of its own.' Discuss.

END OF PAPER