Paper 2

ETHICS

Answer three questions only.

Write the number of the question at the beginning of each answer. If you are answering an either/or question, indicate the letter as well.
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You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages of this question paper until instructed that you may do so by the Invigilator.
1 Can you use the words 'morally wrong' without expressing emotion? What does your answer show about the nature of moral judgement?

2 Can values be deduced from facts?

3 Explain the difference between descriptive and normative egoism. Does the former lend any support to the latter?

4 Either (a) Is it possible to be an amoralist? Or (b) Is there any rational argument by which we could convince the amoralist to become more moral?

5 Either (a) 'Where there is no duty holder there is no right.' Comment. Or (b) Do all rights protect interests?

6 Is consequentialism compatible with the existence of inviolable rights? If so, how? If not, why not?

7 What does utilitarianism demand of individuals? Is it too much?

8 Can punishment be justified purely on retributive grounds?

9 Can the question of the 'general justifying aim' of punishment be coherently distinguished from the question of the 'just distribution' of punishment?

10 Do you know what is good for you?
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