

**PHILOSOPHY TRIPOS Part IA**

---

Tuesday 29 May 2007

09.00 to 12.00

---

Paper 3

LOGIC

Answer **three** questions only; at least **one** from **each** section.

*Write the number of the question at the beginning of each answer. If you are answering the either/or question, indicate the letter as well.*

**STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS**

*20 Page Answer Book x 1*

*Rough Work Pad*

**You may not start to read the questions  
printed on the subsequent pages of this  
question paper until instructed that you  
may do so by the Invigilator**

SECTION A

1 Attempt all parts of this question.

(a) Using the following translation manual:

- 'a' for zero
- 'b' for one
- 'c' for two
- 'Px' for x is prime
- 'Ex' for x is even
- 'Ox' for x is odd
- 'Lxy' for x is less than y
- 'Gxy' for x is greater than y
- 'Sxyz' for x plus y equals z

and taking the domain of quantification to be the natural numbers (i.e. integers from zero up) translate the following sentences into QL with identity as best you can:

- (i) No even number is odd.
- (ii) Any number plus zero equals itself.
- (iii) If no number is less than zero then every number is either zero or greater than zero.
- (iv) For every number there is a greater one.
- (v) Every even number is the result of adding one to some odd number.
- (vi) If two numbers are distinct then their sum is not zero.
- (vii) There are at least two numbers.
- (viii) The only even prime number is two.
- (ix) Two is the least number greater than zero and one.
- (x) Every even number greater than two is the sum of two prime numbers.

(b) Use trees for QL with identity to show that the following are valid arguments.

- (i) All cricketers have good hand-eye coordination. No one clumsy has good hand-eye coordination. So no cricketer is clumsy.
- (ii) If Pingu is a penguin then some penguins are cute. Nothing cute is a carnivore. So if Pingu is a penguin then some penguins are not carnivores.
- (iii) Any true philosopher admires some logicians. Some students admire only existentialists and no existentialists are logicians. Hence not all students are true philosophers.
- (iv) There is a town to which all roads lead. So all roads lead to a town.
- (v) Angharad and Bethan, and they alone, love Caradoc. Someone who loves Caradoc kissed him. So either Angharad or Bethan kissed Caradoc.
- (vi) The donkey admired by Tim is not a carnivore. So no carnivore admired by Tim is a donkey.

[TURN OVER]

- 2     **Either**     (a)   What does it mean to say that the tree method for propositional logic is 'sound' and 'complete'? Carefully prove that it is complete, commenting on the strategy of the proof.
- Or**           (b)   Explain the idea of a q-valuation. Explain how this idea justifies the tree rules governing the universal quantifier. Comment on the issue of empty domains.

3     Attempt all parts of this question.

(a)   Set Theory

- (i)    Write down the axiom of extensionality and say what is meant by the union  $A \cup B$  and the intersection  $A \cap B$  of sets  $A$  and  $B$ . What does  $A \subseteq B$  mean? What does  $\wp(A)$  mean? What is  $\emptyset$ ?
- (ii)   Show that if  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A$  then  $A = B$ .
- (iii)   Show that if  $A \cap B = A$  then  $A \subseteq B$ .
- (iv)   Hence or otherwise show that: if  $A \cap B = B$ , and  $B \cap C = C$ , and  $A \cap C = A$ , then  $A = C$ .
- (v)    Show that if  $\wp(A) \subseteq A$  then  $\wp(A) \in A$ .

(b)   Relations

For each of the following relations say whether it is symmetric, reflexive or transitive. You may use the following information: that the author of *Persuasion* is identical to the author of *Mansfield Park* but distinct from the author of *Waverley*, and that there is no present King of France. The domain is the set of people.

- (i)     $x$  is a brother of  $y$ .
- (ii)    $x$  and  $y$  are brothers.
- (iii)    $x$  was born in the same town as  $y$ .
- (iv)    $x$  was born in the same town as  $y$  or  $x$  died in the same town as  $y$ .
- (v)     $x$  wrote *Waverley* and  $y$  wrote *Persuasion*.
- (vi)    $x$  wrote *Waverley*  $\leftrightarrow$   $y$  wrote *Persuasion*.
- (vii)    $x$  wrote *Mansfield Park*  $\leftrightarrow$   $y$  wrote *Persuasion*.
- (viii)   If the present King of France loves  $x$  then the present King of France loves  $y$ .
- (ix)    $x$  is a brother of  $y \supset y$  is a brother of  $x$ .
- (x)     $x$  and  $y$  were married to the same person.

4     Attempt all parts of this question.

(a)   Define conditional probability. Then use your definition where necessary to answer the following:

Two cards are drawn at random and without replacement from a standard 52-card pack without Jokers. Calculate the probability of the following events:

[TURN OVER for continuation of question 4]

- (i) The first is a king.
  - (ii) The first is a king and the second is a heart.
  - (iii) The second is a spade given that the first is a spade.
  - (iv) The first is a heart given that the second is a spade.
  - (v) They are both aces given that one is an ace.
  - (vi) They are both aces given that one is the ace of hearts.
- (b) In a small town 90% of taxis are yellow and 10% are green. One night a taxi is involved in a hit-and-run accident. An eyewitness says that the taxi is green. Tests later show that at night-time the eyewitness can identify yellow taxis as yellow 70% of the time and green taxis as green 80% of the time. What is the probability that the taxi involved in the accident was green?

#### SECTION B

- 5 What problems is Russell's Theory of Descriptions meant to solve? And is it the best way to solve them?
- 6 Give the strongest defence you can of the view that 'if ... then ...' in ordinary English has the same meaning as ' $\supset$ ' in the propositional calculus. Is the defence adequate and if not why not?
- 7 Are all necessary truths knowable a priori?
- 8 'The words "sentence", "statement" and "proposition" are ambiguous in ways that are liable to lead to philosophical confusion.' Discuss.

END OF PAPER