Paper 1

METAPHYSICS AND PHILOSOPHY OF MIND

Answer three questions only.

Write the number of the question at the beginning of each answer. If you are answering an either/or question, indicate the letter as well.

You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages of this question paper until instructed that you may do so by the Invigilator
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How is it possible to make sense of the idea that something that is perceived can also exist unperceived?

Are there any areas of discourse for which a coherence theory of truth is satisfactory?

Is there any sense in which primary qualities are more objective than secondary ones?

Either (a) Can the concept of knowledge be analysed into more basic concepts?

Or (b) If one knows that \( p \) must there be a specific way in which one knows that \( p \)? What light, if any, does the answer to this question cast on what it is to know that \( p \)?:

How, if at all, is a priori knowledge possible?

Explain the difference between deflationism and the correspondence theory of truth. Is either acceptable?

Either (a) Can materialism admit that there could have been mental states that were not physical states?

Or (b) Does the possibility of an intelligent Martian, with a physical makeup different from our own, show that the mind is not identical with the brain?

Can computers have representational states?

Either (a) Do all monisms have to be anomological?

Or (b) 'Anomalous Monism has had its day; we need now either to develop it or to drop it.' Discuss.

Is intentionality the mark of the mental?
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