Paper 3

ETHICS

Answer **three** questions only.

Write the number of the question at the beginning of each answer. If you are answering an either/or question, indicate the letter as well.
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1. Is it ever praiseworthy to act against one's better judgement?
2. When, if ever, is blame justified?
3. Is the ethical distinction between intention and foresight contrived?
4. Either (a) In what sense, if any, is morality relative to the individual?  
   Or (b) In what sense, if any, is morality relative to a moral framework?
5. Is there a viable distinction between motivating and normative reasons?
6. Either (a) Are all reasons for action a function of sound practical reasoning? If so, why? If not, why not?  
   Or (b) Was Hume a Humean about motivation?
7. Either (a) To what extent, if any, are the demands arising from friendship limited by the demands of impartial morality?  
   Or (b) What makes two situations relevantly different in moral respects?
8. 'The traditional link between morality and principles is no more than an unjustified prejudice.' Discuss.
9. What makes death bad?
10. What role should autonomy play in end of life decision-making?
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