

**PHILOSOPHY TRIPOS Part II**

---

Tuesday 31 May 2011

09.00 to 12.00

---

Paper 3

ETHICS

*Answer **three** questions only.*

*Write the number of the question at the beginning of each answer. If you are answering an either/or question, indicate the letter as well.*

**STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS**

*20 Page Answer book x 1*

*Rough Work Pad*

**You may not start to read the questions  
printed on the subsequent pages of this  
question paper until instructed that you  
may do so by the Invigilator**

- 1 Should we care equally about all temporal stages of our life?
- 2 Is there a necessary connection between what a person desires and what is good for that person?
- 3 Is there a defensible form of ethical naturalism?
- 4 In what sense, if any, do moral judgements express sentiments?
- 5 Is there an ethical difference between having an abortion and having your tonsils removed?
- 6 **Either** (a) Are genetic enhancements ethically more problematic than genetic treatments? If so, why?  
**Or** (b) Can public policies to improve public health be made compatible with respect for individual autonomy? If so, how?
- 7 **Either** (a) Does it make sense to hold corporations morally responsible?  
**Or** (b) Are corporations agents?
- 8 **Either** (a) When, if ever, is trust reasonable?  
**Or** (b) Is the attitude of trusting best understood as a cognitive or as an affective attitude?
- 9 Is the argumentation in the third part of the *Groundwork* circular? If so, so what?
- 10 Is Kant forced to say that a resentfully performed dutiful act is morally preferable to a similar act done with pleasure? Does it matter?

END OF PAPER