

Part IB Logic
 Class 4: Intuitionistic Logic
 Prof Michael Potter
 3pm Tuesday 18th February 2014

Intuitionistic propositional logic IPL is like TFL except that the rule TND is omitted. We shall write \vdash_I for provability in IPL and \vdash_C for provability in TFL.

1. (a) Prove $\vdash_I \neg\neg(\mathcal{A} \vee \neg\mathcal{A})$.
 (b) Prove $\vdash_I \neg(\mathcal{A} \wedge \neg\mathcal{A})$.
2. Prove that the following are *equivalent*, against the background of intuitionistic logic:
 - (i) The Law of Excluded Middle (i.e. that any instance of $\mathcal{A} \vee \neg\mathcal{A}$ is an *Axiom*)
 - (ii) Unrestricted instance of the rule TND (as defined in forallx)
 - (iii) Unrestricted instance of the rule DNE (as defined in forallx)

Prove that $\neg(\mathcal{A} \vee \neg\mathcal{A})$ is a schematic *logical contradiction*, for intuitionists.

3. The *Gödel translation* of a formula involves sprinkling that formula with additional negation signs, according to the following recursive definition:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{A}^g &= \neg\neg\mathcal{A}, \text{ if } \mathcal{A} \text{ is atomic} \\
 (\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B})^g &= (\mathcal{A}^g \wedge \mathcal{B}^g) \\
 (\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B})^g &= \neg(\neg\mathcal{A}^g \wedge \neg\mathcal{B}^g) \\
 (\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B})^g &= (\mathcal{A}^g \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^g) \\
 (\neg\mathcal{A})^g &= \neg\mathcal{A}^g
 \end{aligned}$$

Prove that the Gödel translation has the following interesting properties:

- (a) $\vdash_C (\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}^g)$
- (b) $\vdash_I (\mathcal{A}^g \leftrightarrow \neg\neg\mathcal{A}^g)$
- (c) If $\vdash_C \mathcal{A}$, then $\vdash_I \mathcal{A}^g$

Hence, where Γ^g are the Gödel translation of every sentence among Γ , prove the following:

- (a) $\Gamma \vdash_C \mathcal{A}$ iff $\Gamma^g \vdash_I \mathcal{A}^g$
- (b) $\Gamma \vdash_C \perp$ iff $\Gamma \vdash_I \perp$