

PHILOSOPHY TRIPOS, PART IA

---

Friday 2 June 2023

09.00–11:00

---

Paper 5

FORMAL METHODS

*Answer **ALL** questions in Section A.  
Each question in Section A is worth 9 Marks.*

*Answer **TWO** questions from Section B.  
Each question in Section B is worth 20 marks.*

Write the number of the question at the beginning of each answer

**SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS TO BE SUPPLIED FOR THIS  
EXAMINATION**

**Calculator – students are permitted to bring an approved  
calculator**

**Stationery Requirements**

*20 Page Answer Book*

*Rough Work Pad*

<p><b>You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages of this question paper until instructed that you may do so by the Invigilator</b></p>
---

# Part IA Paper 5 (Formal Methods)

Easter Term 2023

## Section A

- Which of the following claims are true, and which are false? Briefly explain your answers.
  - No valid argument has a contradictory conclusion.
  - If some sentences are jointly contrary, then there is a proof with those sentences as premises and an arbitrary sentence as conclusion.
  - For any TFL-sentences **A** and **B**, if **A** and **B** are not logically equivalent, then  $\neg\mathbf{A}$  and **B** are logically equivalent.
- Prove the following using the natural deduction system in *forallx:Cam*:
  - $P, Q \rightarrow (R \wedge \neg P), Q \vee S \vdash S$
  - $P \rightarrow (Q \leftrightarrow R) \vdash (P \wedge Q) \leftrightarrow (P \wedge R)$
  - $\exists x(Px \wedge \forall yRxy) \vdash \exists zRzz$
- Consider the interpretation whose domain consists of the numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, and for which the extensions of the predicates  $F$  and  $G$  are as follows:  
 $F$  is true of (and only of) 1, 2, and 3;  
 $G$  is true of (and only of) 4 and 5.  
Given this interpretation, state the truth values of the following sentences (you do not need to explain your answers):
  - $\forall x(Fx \vee Gx)$
  - $\exists x(Fx \wedge Gx)$
  - $\forall x(Fx \leftrightarrow \neg Gx)$
  - $\forall x\exists y(Fx \leftrightarrow \neg Gy)$
  - $\exists x\exists y(Fx \wedge Fy \wedge x \neq y)$
  - $\forall x\forall y((Gx \wedge Gy) \rightarrow x = y)$
- Let  $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $B = \{1, 2\}$  and  $C = \{3, 5\}$ . For each of the following statements say whether it is true or false; and if it is false say briefly why:
  - $A \subseteq B$
  - $A \cap C \subseteq A$
  - $\emptyset(B \cap C) \subseteq A \cap C$
  - $A \times B = B \times A$
  - $(A \times B) \cap (C \times B) = \emptyset$
- Say of the following relations whether they are (i) reflexive (ii) symmetric (iii) transitive on the domain of all currently living people:
  - $x$  and  $y$  are full siblings
  - $x$  is the same age as  $y$  or the same height as  $y$
  - $x$  and  $y$  were once neighbours

## Section B

6. (a) Use truth tables to demonstrate the following claims.
- $(B \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A \models (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$
  - $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C \models A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)$
- (b) Explain why we can abbreviate  $((A \wedge B) \wedge C)$  as  $(A \wedge B \wedge C)$ , but cannot abbreviate  $((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C)$  as  $(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C)$ .
- (c) Briefly explain the differences in meaning between  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\models$  and  $\vdash$ .
- (d) Prove that for any TFL-sentences  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ ,  $\mathbf{A} \models \mathbf{B}$  if and only if  $(\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B})$  is a tautology.
- (e) Is tautological entailment a transitive relation? Justify your answer (with appropriate use of metalinguistic variables).
- (f) Is the string “ $(\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B})$ ” a sentence of TFL? If not, what is it?
7. (a) Consider the following symbolisation key:
- Domain: all people currently alive
- $T$ : \_\_\_\_\_<sub>1</sub> is taller than \_\_\_\_\_<sub>2</sub>
- $P$ : \_\_\_\_\_<sub>1</sub> has pink hair
- $F$ : \_\_\_\_\_<sub>1</sub> is friends with \_\_\_\_\_<sub>2</sub>
- $a$ : Alice
- $b$ : Bob
- Using this symbolisation key, give English-language versions of the following sentences.
- $\forall x(Fbx \rightarrow \neg Px)$
  - $\exists x(\forall y(\forall z(y \neq z \rightarrow Tyz) \leftrightarrow x = y) \wedge Fax)$
  - $\forall x(\exists y(Py \wedge Fxy) \rightarrow Tbx)$
  - $\forall x(Px \rightarrow \forall y(Fxy \rightarrow Py))$
- (b) Provide an interpretation—which can be independent of the above symbolisation—to show that the four sentences in part (a) are consistent.
- (c) Find three different ways to disambiguate the sentence “Only people who are taller than Bob and Alice’s friends have pink hair.” For each disambiguation, provide a symbolisation into FOL using the same symbolisation key as in part (a).
8. Let us call a relation  $R$  *Euclidean* if  $\forall x \forall y \forall z ((Rxy \wedge Rxz) \rightarrow Ryz)$ . For each combination of properties (a)–(e) below, EITHER give an example of a relation combining those properties on a specified domain, OR explain why no such relation exists:
- Transitive and Euclidean but not symmetric
  - Reflexive and symmetric but not Euclidean
  - Symmetric but neither Euclidean nor reflexive
  - Reflexive and Euclidean but not transitive
  - Symmetric, transitive and Euclidean but not reflexive
9. In two sacks of 100 coins each, 20 coins in each sack are biased in such a way that they always land heads; the other 80 coins in each sack are fair. You draw one coin from each sack at random: call one coin A and the other B. You then toss each coin three times. What is the probability that:
- Coin A lands heads on its first toss?
  - Coin A lands the same on its first toss as coin B on its first toss?
  - Coin A lands the same on the first toss and *its* second toss?
  - Coin A lands heads on its third toss given that it landed heads on the first two tosses?
  - Coin A and coin B land the same as one another on their second toss given that they landed the same as one another on their first toss?