PHILOSOPHY TRIPOS, PART II

Tuesday 30 May 2023

13.30-16.30

Paper 3

ETHICS

Answer three questions only.

Write the number of the question at the beginning of each answer. If you are answering the either/or question, indicate the letter as well.

STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS

20 Page Answer Book x 1 Rough Work Pad

> You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages of this question paper until instructed that you may do so by the Invigilator

1. EITHER: (a) Are there any morally relevant differences between human and non-human animals?

2

OR: (b) Is 'equine ethics' a misnomer?

- 2. 'Sustainability is an ethical imperative grounded in basic human interests.' Discuss.
- 3. 'Explaining how normative judgments motivate is easier for an expressivist than for a cognitivist.' Is that correct?
- 4. 'The best explanation for moral disagreement is that there is no such thing as moral knowledge.' Do you agree?
- 5. Explain and critically evaluate the 'motive of duty' in Kant's ethics.
- 6. 'Rational beings. . .are called persons because their nature already marks them out as ends in themselves.' (KANT) Why are rational beings 'ends in themselves', and what does this mean for Kant's ethics?
- 7. What role, if any, does evidence play in trust?
- 8. Can a robot be morally blameworthy?
- 9. Does ethics have anything to learn from evolutionary psychology?
- 10. Is talk of implicit biases much ado about nothing?

END OF PAPER