## PHILOSOPHY TRIPOS Part IA

Monday 28 May 2007

09.00 to 12.00

Paper 2

ETHICS

Answer three questions only.

Write the number of the question at the beginning of each answer. If you are answering an either/or question, indicate the letter as well.

STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS 20 Page Answer Book x 1 Rough Work Pad

You may not start to read the questions

printed on the subsequent pages of this

question paper until instructed that you

may do so by the Invigilator

- 1 **Either** (a) Can there be rights without corresponding duties?
  - **Or** (*b*) Are there any natural rights?
- 2 **Either** (a) Does the amoralist pose a threat to the justification of morality?
  - **Or** (b) Is the amoralist irrational?
- 3 What is the strongest argument in favour of motive utilitarianism? Does it work?
- 4 Why does it matter if 'good' cannot be defined?
- 5 Can there be any truth in ethics if ethical judgements merely express emotion?
- 6 Can rights and consequentialist considerations be reconciled?
- 7 What is the strongest backward-looking justification of punishment? Does it work?
- 8 Can a hedonist consistently claim that it is better to be Socrates dissatisfied than a pig satisfied?
- 9 What role should intuitions play in moral theory?
- 10 Is it strange that there should be facts that tell you what to do?

## END OF PAPER