## PHT0/3

## PHILOSOPHY TRIPOS Part IA

Tuesday 27 May 2003

9 to 12

Paper 3

LOGIC

Answer four questions only; at least one from each section.

Write the number of the question at the beginning of each answer. Please answer all parts of each numbered question chosen.

> You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages of this question paper until instructed that you may do so by the Invigilator

SECTION A

- 1 Define the following notions:
  - (a) truth-functional connective;
  - (b) tautology;
  - (c) tautological entailment;
  - (d) expressive adequacy (of a set of connectives). Show that the set of connectives  $\{\supset, \neg\}$  is expressively adequate.
- 2 (a) Define transitivity, symmetry, reflexivity. Give two examples of an equivalence relation.
  - $(\hat{b})$  Suppose that *R* is an equivalence relation. Show that
    - $\forall x \forall y \forall z ((Rxy \land Rxz) \supset Ryz).$

(c) Let us say that a relation R is complete if  $\forall x \forall y (Rxy \lor Ryx)$ . Taking your domain to be the set of people, either give examples of relations which have the following properties or explain why no examples are possible:

- (*i*) complete and symmetric;
- (*ii*) complete and irreflexive;
- *(iii)* transitive, not symmetric, and not complete;
- *(iv)* transitive and symmetric but not complete;
- (v) complete and symmetric but not an equivalence relation.

3 Translate the following sentences into QL<sup>=</sup> (the language of the predicate calculus with identity). Be sure to state the translation scheme that you use.

- (a) Only if Bertrand is a philosopher are all logicians philosophers.
- (b) No philosophers who are not logicians are admired by Bertrand.
- (c) Every philosopher admires some logician.
- (d) Some philosophers are admired by no logician.
- (e) Any philosopher who admires some logician admires Frank.
- (f) Some logician other then Bertrand admires someone other than himself.
- (g) Only Bertrand admires all those logicians who do not admire themselves.
- (h) Whoever admires all logicians only admires Frank and Bertrand.

(i) No one other than Frank and Bertrand is admired by any philosopher who admires some logician.

(*j*) The philosopher who admires Frank is a logician.

(*k*) Frank is not the logician who admires Bertrand.

(*l*) Only if Bertrand and Frank are different people are there exactly two logicians who are philosophers.

Show the following arguments are valid by translating them into QL<sup>■</sup> (the language of the predicate calculus with identity) and using predicate trees.
(a) All cricketers have good hand-eye co-ordination. No one clumsy has good hand-eye co-ordination. So no cricketer is clumsy.

(b) Any true philosopher admires some logician. Some students admire only existentialists. No existentialists are logicians. Hence not all students are true philosophers.

(c) Some hockey players admire anyone who has played for England. Fred has no admirers. So Fred has not played for England.

(d) Angharad and Bethan, and they alone, love Caradoc. Someone who loves Caradoc kissed him. So either Angharad or Bethan kissed Caradoc.

(e) All logicians are philosophers; hence any logician's daughter is a philosopher's daughter.

(f) If the King of France exists, he is a bald man. Bald men are sexy. Hence the King of France is sexy, if he exists.

5 (a) Define conditional probability. Define what is meant in probability theory by saying that two events are 'independent'.

(b) Prove that  $P(A) = P(B) P(A|B) + P(\neg B) P(A|\neg B)$ .

(c) I choose three different letters at random from the alphabet. What is the probability that I have chosen letters in alphabetical order? (For example, I have chosen letters in alphabetical order if I choose A then D then X. I have *not* chosen letters in alphabetical order if I choose C then B then A.) Explain your answer.

## SECTION B

- 6 Does Russell give a correct account of the meaning of sentences such as 'The present King of France is bald'?
- 7 Must any meaningful sentence be verifiable?
- 8 Explain why the material conditional is the only truth-functional connective which is a possible candidate for representing the indicative conditional of ordinary language. How good a candidate is it?
- 9 Does it matter whether analyticity is a coherent notion?
- 10 Explain, with examples, the distinctions between the following: sentence token, sentence type, proposition, statement.

## END OF PAPER