PHILOSOPHY TRIPOS Part IB

Friday 22 May 2009

09.00 to 12.00

Paper 1

METAPHYSICS AND PHILOSOPHY OF MIND

Answer three questions only.

Write the number of the question at the beginning of each answer. If you are answering an either/or question, indicate the letter as well.

STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS 20 Page Answer Book x 1 Rough Work Pad

You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages of this question paper until instructed that you may do so by the Invigilator

-2- PHT1/1

- Can the content of sense perception be outside the mind of the perceiver?
- 2 Can the concept of knowledge be analysed?
- 3 **Either** (a) 'If two individuals are alike mentally then they are alike justificationally'. Discuss.
 - **Or** (b) What, if anything, do Evil Demon problems tell us about the nature of epistemic justification?
- How can a factual statement be known to be true a priori without the help of a special evidence-gathering faculty of rational intuition?
- 5 **Either** (a) 'The Correspondence Theory allows for the application of the concept of truth to non-linguistic items such as maps and perceptions whereas the Redundancy Theory does not. Therefore we should prefer the Correspondence Theory.' Discuss.
 - **Or** (b) Is it folly to try to define truth?
- 6 Might pain not have been pain?
- 7 **Either** (a) What should a functionalist say about qualia?
 - **Or** (b) Why should we care about those mental states and processes that outrun behavioural dispositions?
- 8 **Either** (a) Could there be psychophysical causation without psychophysical laws?
 - **Or** (b) Does anomalous monism depend on a particular theory of events?
- 9 Could the running of a computer programme constitute understanding?
- Is there anything that all and only mental states have in common?

END OF PAPER