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## Paper 2

LOGIC
Answer three questions only.
Write the number of the question at the beginning of each answer. If you are answering an either/or question, indicate the letter as well.

## STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS

20 Page Answer Book $x 1$ Rough Work Pad

You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages of this question paper until instructed that you may do so by the Invigilator

1 Explain what is meant by the 'grammatical form' and the 'logical form' of an English sentence. Is one a guide to the other?

2 Expound a referential semantics for the quantifiers of classical logic. What makes the semantics referential rather than substitutional?

3 Either (a) Expound a natural deduction system for classical propositional logic. Is there any reason to think that one of the rules of the system has a different status from the others? If so, what?

Or (b) What could motivate intuitionistic logic?
4 Either (a) Must a consistent axiom system have a model?
Or (b) Can we think of the natural numbers as being implicitly defined by an axiom system?

5 Expound a semantics for the modal logic S4, showing in particular that the characteristic S 4 axiom is sound for this semantics but that the S 5 axiom is not.

6 Either (a) 'The external causal chain plays no explanatory role whatever in Kripke's account of personal names.' Discuss.

Or (b) Is 'John' indexical?
7 'Russell had a one-step, Frege a two-step, semantic theory.' What were Russell and Frege disagreeing about? Who was right?

8 'The meaning of a sentence is determined by the meanings of the words of which it is composed.' Discuss.

9 What attitude ought I to have towards the inhabitants of other possible worlds than my own?

10 Is a community free to adopt whatever linguistic conventions it pleases?

