PHILOSOPHY TRIPOS Part IB

Tuesday 25 May 2004

9 to 12

Paper 4

HISTORY OF ANCIENT PHILOSOPHY

Answer three questions only, at least one from each section.

Write the number of the question at the beginning of each answer. If you are answering an either/or question, indicate the letter as well.

You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages of this question paper until instructed that you may do so by the Invigilator

-2- PHT1/4

SECTION A: Plato's Republic

- 1 Critically discuss Plato's method for dividing the soul into distinct parts. Do the parts he settles on credibly represent different sources of motivation?
- 2 **Either** (a) How might Thrasymachus respond to Socrates' defence of justice?
 - **Or** (b) Does Socrates mean to show that psychic harmony is both necessary and sufficient for conventional justice?
- Plato has been accused of treating the state as an entity in its own right. Does Socrates commit this 'organic fallacy' in the *Republic*?
- What reasons does Socrates give for requiring women as well as men to rule? Are these reasons good reasons?
- Is the discussion of art in Book 10 of the *Republic* compatible with what has gone before?

SECTION B: Aristotle's Nicomachean Ethics

- Is the function argument successful? What role does it play in Aristotle's account of virtue?
- 7 'Happiness (*eudaimonia*) extends, then, just so far as contemplation does, and those to whom contemplation more fully belongs are more truly happy ... Happiness, therefore, must be some form of contemplation' (*Nicomachean Ethics* X 8).
 - Does this passage square with what Aristotle claims elsewhere in the *Nicomachean Ethics*? What is the most plausible account of happiness that can be reconstructed from his various discussions?
- Aristotle claims that it is impossible to have practical wisdom without being virtuous. Why does he think this is so and is he right?
- 9 **Either** (a) Explain Aristotle's distinction between virtue and continence (*encrateia*). Illustrate your answer with reference to temperance and courage.

[TURN OVER for continuation of question 9]

-3- PHT1/4

Or (b) '... so it turns out that a man behaves incontinently under the influence (in a sense) of reason and opinion, and of opinion not contrary to itself, but only incidentally – for the appetite is contrary, not the opinion – to right reason' (Nicomachean Ethics VII 3).

Explain and critically assess this passage.

'Ultimately, it is very hard in practice to distinguish between different types of friendship in the way Aristotle suggests.' Is this true? If it were, would it undermine Aristotle's account?

END OF PAPER