

Model answers are in blue. In some cases, multiple answers are acceptable. Any student who thinks they have spotted a mistake in a model answer should email *tecb2 at cam dot ac dot uk*

SECTION A

Answer **all** questions in section A.

(1) Could there be:

(a) a valid argument with a true conclusion but a false premise?

Yes. For example:

Socrates is a man and a carrot.

So: Socrates is a man.

(b) a valid argument with only false premises and a false conclusion?

Yes. For example:

Socrates is a man and a carrot.

So: Socrates is a carrot.

(c) a sound argument whose conclusion is a tautology?

Yes. For example:

It is raining.

So: Either it is raining or it is not raining.

(d) a sound argument with a contradiction as a premise?

No. By definition, an argument is sound iff it is valid and all its premises are true.

So, in particular, every premise of a sound argument is true. And no contradiction is true.

If so, provide an example of such an argument. If not, explain why not.

(2) Use truth-tables (complete or partial) to assess the following:

(a) $A \vee B, B \vee C, \neg A \models B \wedge C$

This claim is false, as the following partial truth table shows.

A	B	C	$A \vee B$	$B \vee C$	$\neg A$	$B \wedge C$
F	T	F	T	T	T	F

(b) $(\neg A \leftrightarrow B) \models \neg(\neg A \leftrightarrow \neg B)$

This claim is true, as the following complete truth table shows.

A	B	$(\neg A \leftrightarrow B)$	$\neg(\neg A \leftrightarrow \neg B)$
T	T	f	F
T	F	f	T
F	T	t	T
F	F	t	F

(c) $\models (A \rightarrow B) \vee (B \rightarrow A)$

This claim is true, as the following complete truth table shows.

A	B	$(A \rightarrow B) \vee (B \rightarrow A)$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	T

- (3) Using the formal proof system from *forallx*, show that:
 $\forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx), \exists x(Fx \wedge Hx) \vdash \exists x(Gx \wedge Hx)$

1	$\forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)$	
2	$\exists x(Fx \wedge Hx)$	
3	$Fa \wedge Ha$	
4	Fa	$\wedge E$ 3
5	Ha	$\wedge E$ 3
6	$Fa \rightarrow Ga$	$\forall E$ 1
7	Ga	$\rightarrow E$ 6, 4
8	$Ga \wedge Ha$	$\wedge I$ 7, 5
9	$\exists x(Gx \wedge Hx)$	$\exists I$ 8
10	$\exists x(Gx \wedge Hx)$	$\exists E$ 2, 3–9

- (4) Provide examples of relations with the following properties:

- (a) reflexive and symmetric but not transitive

The relation, on the domain of people, given by:
 x and y are the same height or differ in height by no more than 10cm.

- (b) transitive and symmetric but not reflexive

The empty relation (on any domain).

- (c) reflexive but neither symmetric nor transitive

The relation on the numbers 1, 2, and 3 whose extension is:
 $\langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 2, 2 \rangle, \langle 3, 3 \rangle, \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 2, 3 \rangle, \langle 3, 1 \rangle$.

- (5) You roll two fair six-sided dice, once. Calculate the probability that:

- (a) you roll 11.

$$\frac{2}{36} = \frac{1}{18}$$

- (b) you roll 11, given that at least one of the dice showed a 6.

$$\frac{2}{11}$$

- (c) you roll 11, given that both dice show the same number.

0

SECTION B

Answer any **two** questions from section B.

(6) Using the following symbolisation key:

Domain: people

D : _____₁ is a drummer

B : _____₁ is a bassist

L : _____₁ likes _____₂

a : Ali

b : Barker

symbolise all of the following English sentences as best you can in FOL:

(a) Ali likes Barker, and also other people.

$$Lab \wedge \exists x(\neg x = b \wedge Lax)$$

(b) Every bassist likes a drummer.

$$\forall x(Bx \rightarrow \exists y(Dy \wedge Lxy)).$$

Note: the original English sentence is potentially ambiguous; I have given it a reading which allows (for example) that different bassists may like different drummers.

(c) The drummer who likes Ali is not Barker.

$$\exists x(Dx \wedge Lxa \wedge \forall y((Dy \wedge Lya) \rightarrow x = y) \wedge \neg x = b)$$

(d) Provided Ali likes Barker, some bassist likes some drummer.

$$Lab \rightarrow \exists x \exists y(Bx \wedge Dy \wedge Lxy)$$

(e) Exactly two drummers other than Barker like Ali.

$$\exists x \exists y(\neg x = y \wedge \neg x = b \wedge \neg y = b \wedge Dx \wedge Dy \wedge Lxa \wedge Lya \wedge \forall z[(Dz \wedge Lza) \rightarrow (z = x \vee z = y)])$$

Note: the original English sentence may be intended to imply that Barker is a drummer who likes Ali. If so, then we should offer:

$$Db \wedge Lba \wedge \exists x \exists y(\neg x = y \wedge \neg x = b \wedge \neg y = b \wedge Dx \wedge Dy \wedge Lxa \wedge Lya \wedge \forall z[(Dz \wedge Lza) \rightarrow (z = x \vee z = y \vee z = b)])$$

(f) The drummer who likes Ali is not the bassist who likes Barker.

$$\exists x \exists y(Dx \wedge Lxa \wedge \forall z((Dz \wedge Lza) \rightarrow x = z) \wedge By \wedge Lyb \wedge \forall z((Bz \wedge Lzb) \rightarrow y = z) \wedge x \neq y)$$

(g) Barker likes each of the three bassists.

$$\exists x \exists y \exists z(Bx \wedge By \wedge Bz \wedge \neg x = y \wedge \neg y = z \wedge \neg x = z \wedge \forall w[Bw \rightarrow (x = w \vee y = w \vee z = w)] \wedge Lbx \wedge Lby \wedge Lbz)$$

(h) For every drummer who likes a bassist, some other drummer likes no one but Ali.

$$\forall x([Dx \wedge \exists y(By \wedge Lxy)] \rightarrow \exists z[Dz \wedge \neg z = x \wedge \forall y(Lzy \rightarrow y = a)])$$

Note: the original English sentence may be intended so that its last part is read ‘...likes Ali but no one other than Ali’. If so, the conditional in my answer, ‘ $Lzy \rightarrow y = a$ ’, should be changed to a biconditional, ‘ $Lzy \leftrightarrow y = a$ ’

(i) Someone who is liked by nobody likes everyone.

$$\exists x(\forall y \neg Lyx \wedge \forall z Lxz)$$

Note: the original English sentence may be intended to be read e.g. ‘...likes everyone other than themselves’. If so, the answer should be $\exists x(\forall y \neg Lyx \wedge \forall z(\neg z = x \rightarrow Lxz))$

(j) Someone likes all and only those who do not like themselves.

$$\exists x \forall y(Lxy \leftrightarrow \neg Lyy).$$

- (7) Grange Knoll is a school with a population of 800 children and 200 adults. Sadly, 40 children and 40 adults in Grange Knoll have the flu. A member of the Grange Knoll is chosen at random; calculate the probability that:

In all answers, let C be the event of being/sampling a child and F be the event of being/sampling someone with flu. (Note that \bar{C} is the event of being/sampling an adult.)

- (a) they have the flu.

$$\Pr(F) = \frac{40 + 40}{800 + 200} = \frac{2}{25}$$

- (b) they have the flu, given that they are a child.

$$\Pr(F | C) = \frac{40}{800} = \frac{1}{20}$$

- (c) they have the flu, given that they are an adult.

$$\Pr(F | \bar{C}) = \frac{40}{200} = \frac{1}{5}$$

- (d) they are a child, given that they have the flu.

$$\Pr(C | F) = \frac{40}{80} = \frac{1}{2}$$

- (e) they are an adult, given that they do not have the flu.

$$\Pr(\bar{C} | \bar{F}) = \frac{160}{920} = \frac{4}{23}$$

A test has been developed, to determine whether or not someone has the flu. Among those who have the flu, the test delivers a positive verdict 95% of the time. Among those who do not have the flu, the test delivers a positive verdict 5% of the time. A member of Grange Knoll is chosen at random; calculate the probability that:

In all subsequent answers, let V be the event of the test returning a positive verdict.

(f) they have the flu, given that the test delivered a positive verdict

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pr(F | V) &= \frac{\Pr(F \wedge V)}{\Pr(V)} \\
 &= \frac{\Pr(F \wedge V)}{\Pr(F \wedge V) + \Pr(\bar{F} \wedge V)} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{2}{25} \times \frac{19}{20}}{\frac{2}{25} \times \frac{19}{20} + \frac{23}{25} \times \frac{1}{20}}, \text{ using the answer to (a)} \\
 &= \frac{2 \times 19}{2 \times 19 + 23 \times 1} \\
 &= \frac{38}{61}
 \end{aligned}$$

(g) they have the flu, given both that they are a child and that the test delivered a positive verdict

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pr(F | (C \wedge V)) &= \frac{\Pr(F \wedge C \wedge V)}{\Pr(C \wedge V)} \\
 &= \frac{\Pr(F \wedge C \wedge V)}{\Pr(F \wedge C \wedge V) + \Pr(\bar{F} \wedge C \wedge V)} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{40}{1000} \times \frac{19}{20}}{\frac{40}{1000} \times \frac{19}{20} + \frac{760}{1000} \times \frac{1}{20}} \\
 &= \frac{40 \times 19}{40 \times 19 + 760 \times 1} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

(h) they are an adult, given that the test delivered a positive verdict

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pr(\bar{C} | V) &= \frac{\Pr(\bar{C} \wedge V)}{\Pr(V)} \\
 &= \frac{\Pr(\bar{C} \wedge F \wedge V) + \Pr(\bar{C} \wedge \bar{F} \wedge V)}{\Pr(V \wedge F) + \Pr(V \wedge \bar{F})} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{40}{1000} \times \frac{19}{20} + \frac{160}{1000} \times \frac{1}{20}}{\frac{19}{20} \times \frac{2}{25} + \frac{1}{20} \times \frac{23}{25}}, \text{ calculating denominator as in (f)} \\
 &= \frac{1 \times 19 + 4 \times 1}{19 \times 2 + 1 \times 23} \\
 &= \frac{23}{61}
 \end{aligned}$$

(8) Using the formal proof system from *forallx*, show each of the following:

(a) $\forall x \exists y (Rxy \vee Ryx), \forall x \neg Rmx \vdash \exists x Rxm$

1	$\forall x \exists y (Rxy \vee Ryx)$	
2	$\forall x \neg Rmx$	
3	$\exists y (Rmy \vee Rym)$	$\forall E$ 1
4	$Rma \vee Ram$	
5	$\neg Rma$	$\forall E$ 2
6	Ram	DS 4, 5
7	$\exists x Rxm$	$\exists I$ 6
8	$\exists x Rxm$	$\exists E$ 3, 4–7

(b) $\forall x (\exists y Lxy \rightarrow \forall z Lzx), Lab \vdash \forall x Lxx$

1	$\forall x (\exists y Lxy \rightarrow \forall z Lzx)$	
2	Lab	
3	$\exists y Lay \rightarrow \forall z Lza$	$\forall E$ 1
4	$\exists y Lay$	$\exists I$ 2
5	$\forall z Lza$	$\rightarrow E$ 3, 4
6	Lca	$\forall E$ 5
7	$\exists y Lcy$	$\exists I$ 6
8	$\exists y Lcy \rightarrow \forall z Lzc$	$\forall E$ 1
9	$\forall z Lzc$	$\rightarrow E$ 8, 7
10	Lcc	$\forall E$ 9
11	$\forall x Lxx$	$\forall I$ 10

(c) $\forall x((Px \wedge \exists yLyx) \rightarrow Dx), \forall x(Dx \rightarrow \neg\exists yLyx) \vdash \forall x(Px \rightarrow \neg\exists yLyx)$

1	$\forall x((Px \wedge \exists yLyx) \rightarrow Dx)$	
2	$\forall x(Dx \rightarrow \neg\exists yLyx)$	
3	Pa	
4	$\exists yLyx$	
5	$Pa \wedge \exists yLyx$	$\rightarrow I\ 3, 4$
6	$(Pa \wedge \exists yLyx) \rightarrow Da$	$\forall E\ 1$
7	Da	$\rightarrow E\ 6, 5$
8	$Da \rightarrow \neg\exists yLyx$	$\forall E\ 2$
9	$\neg\exists yLyx$	$\rightarrow E\ 8, 7$
10	\perp	$\neg E\ 4, 9$
11	$\neg\exists yLyx$	$\neg I\ 4-10$
12	$Pa \rightarrow \neg\exists yLyx$	$\rightarrow I\ 3-11$
13	$\forall x(Px \rightarrow \neg\exists yLyx)$	$\forall I\ 12$

(d) $\forall x(Lax \rightarrow \forall y(Lay \rightarrow x = y)), \neg Pc \vdash Lac \rightarrow \forall x(Lax \rightarrow \neg Px)$

1	$\forall x(Lax \rightarrow \forall y(Lay \rightarrow x = y))$	
2	$\neg Pc$	
3	$Lac \rightarrow \forall y(Lay \rightarrow c = y)$	$\forall E\ 1$
4	Lac	
5	$\forall y(Lay \rightarrow c = y)$	$\rightarrow E\ 3, 4$
6	Lab	
7	$Lab \rightarrow c = b$	$\forall E\ 5$
8	$c = b$	$\rightarrow E\ 7, 6$
9	$\neg Pb$	$=E\ 8, 2$
10	$Lab \rightarrow \neg Pb$	$\rightarrow I\ 6-9$
11	$\forall x(Lax \rightarrow \neg Px)$	$\forall I\ 10$
12	$Lac \rightarrow \forall x(Lax \rightarrow \neg Px)$	$\rightarrow I\ 4-11$

(e) $\forall x(\neg Mx \vee Lax), \forall x(Cx \rightarrow Lax), \forall x(Mx \vee Cx) \vdash \forall xLax$

1	$\forall x(\neg Mx \vee Lax)$													
2	$\forall x(Cx \rightarrow Lax)$													
3	$\forall x(Mx \vee Cx)$													
4	$\neg Mc \vee Lac$	$\forall E 1$												
5	$Cc \rightarrow Lac$	$\forall E 2$												
6	$Mc \vee Cc$	$\forall E 3$												
7	<table style="border-collapse: collapse; width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="border-left: 1px solid black; padding-left: 5px; vertical-align: top;">$\neg Lac$</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="border-top: 1px solid black; height: 1px;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border-left: 1px solid black; padding-left: 5px; vertical-align: top;">$\neg Mc$</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">$DS 4, 7$</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border-left: 1px solid black; padding-left: 5px; vertical-align: top;">Cc</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">$DS 6, 8$</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border-left: 1px solid black; padding-left: 5px; vertical-align: top;">Lac</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">$\rightarrow E 5, 9$</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border-left: 1px solid black; padding-left: 5px; vertical-align: top;">\perp</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">$\neg E 7, 10$</td> </tr> </table>	$\neg Lac$				$\neg Mc$	$DS 4, 7$	Cc	$DS 6, 8$	Lac	$\rightarrow E 5, 9$	\perp	$\neg E 7, 10$	
$\neg Lac$														
$\neg Mc$	$DS 4, 7$													
Cc	$DS 6, 8$													
Lac	$\rightarrow E 5, 9$													
\perp	$\neg E 7, 10$													
8	$\neg Mc$													
9	Cc													
10	Lac													
11	\perp													
12	$\neg\neg Lac$	$\neg I 7-11$												
13	Lac	$DNE 12$												

(f) $\forall x(Lax \rightarrow x = a), \forall x(\exists yLxy \rightarrow x = a) \vdash \forall x(\exists yLyx \rightarrow x = a)$

1	$\forall x(Lax \rightarrow x = a)$																	
2	$\forall x(\exists yLxy \rightarrow x = a)$																	
3	<table style="border-collapse: collapse; width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="border-left: 1px solid black; padding-left: 5px; vertical-align: top;">$\exists yLyd$</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="border-top: 1px solid black; height: 1px;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border-left: 1px solid black; padding-left: 5px; vertical-align: top;">Led</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="border-top: 1px solid black; height: 1px;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border-left: 1px solid black; padding-left: 5px; vertical-align: top;">$\exists yLey$</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">$\exists I 4$</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border-left: 1px solid black; padding-left: 5px; vertical-align: top;">$\exists yLey \rightarrow e = a$</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">$\forall E 2$</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border-left: 1px solid black; padding-left: 5px; vertical-align: top;">$e = a$</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">$\rightarrow E 6, 5$</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border-left: 1px solid black; padding-left: 5px; vertical-align: top;">Lad</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">$=E 7, 4$</td> </tr> </table>	$\exists yLyd$				Led				$\exists yLey$	$\exists I 4$	$\exists yLey \rightarrow e = a$	$\forall E 2$	$e = a$	$\rightarrow E 6, 5$	Lad	$=E 7, 4$	
$\exists yLyd$																		
Led																		
$\exists yLey$	$\exists I 4$																	
$\exists yLey \rightarrow e = a$	$\forall E 2$																	
$e = a$	$\rightarrow E 6, 5$																	
Lad	$=E 7, 4$																	
4	Led																	
5	$\exists yLey$																	
6	$\exists yLey \rightarrow e = a$																	
7	$e = a$																	
8	Lad																	
9	Lad	$\exists E 3, 4-8$																
10	$Lad \rightarrow d = a$	$\forall E 1$																
11	$d = a$	$\rightarrow E 10, 9$																
12	$\exists yLyd \rightarrow d = a$	$\rightarrow I 3-11$																
13	$\forall x(\exists yLyx \rightarrow x = a)$	$\forall I 12$																

(9) Attempt all parts of this question

(a) Let $A = \{\text{Algeria, Benin, Chad}\}$, $B = \{\text{Benin, Chad}\}$, $C = \{\text{Chad, Djibouti, Egypt}\}$, and $D = \{\text{Fiji}\}$. Calculate the members of each of the following sets:

(i) $(B - C) \cup D$

$$\begin{aligned}(B - C) \cup D &= \{\text{Benin}\} \cup \{\text{Fiji}\} \\ &= \{\text{Benin, Fiji}\}\end{aligned}$$

(ii) $(A \cap B) \cup (C \cap D)$

$$\begin{aligned}(A \cap B) \cup (C \cap D) &= \{\text{Benin, Chad}\} \cup \emptyset \\ &= \{\text{Benin, Chad}\}\end{aligned}$$

(iii) $A \times B$

$$A \times B = \{\langle \text{Algeria, Benin} \rangle, \langle \text{Algeria, Chad} \rangle, \langle \text{Benin, Benin} \rangle, \langle \text{Benin, Chad} \rangle, \langle \text{Chad, Benin} \rangle, \langle \text{Chad, Chad} \rangle\}$$

(iv) $\{x : x \subseteq A \cap B\}$

$$\begin{aligned}\{x : x \subseteq A \cap B\} &= \{x : x \subseteq \{\text{Benin, Chad}\}\} \\ &= \{\emptyset, \{\text{Benin}\}, \{\text{Chad}\}, \{\text{Benin, Chad}\}\}\end{aligned}$$

(v) $\wp(B \cap C)$

$$\begin{aligned}\wp(B \cap C) &= \wp(\{\text{Chad}\}) \\ &= \{\emptyset, \{\text{Chad}\}\}\end{aligned}$$

(vi) $\wp(\wp(C \cap D))$

$$\begin{aligned}\wp(\wp(C \cap D)) &= \wp(\wp(\emptyset)) \\ &= \wp(\{\emptyset\}) \\ &= \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\end{aligned}$$

(vii) $(A - C) \times D$

$$\begin{aligned}(A - C) \times D &= \{\text{Algeria, Benin}\} \times \{\text{Fiji}\} \\ &= \{\langle \text{Algeria, Fiji} \rangle, \langle \text{Benin, Fiji} \rangle\}\end{aligned}$$

$$(viii) \mathcal{P}(B - C) \times \mathcal{P}(D)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(B - C) \times \mathcal{P}(D) &= \mathcal{P}(\{\text{Benin}\}) \times \mathcal{P}(\{\text{Fiji}\}) \\ &= \{\emptyset, \{\text{Benin}\}\} \times \{\emptyset, \{\text{Fiji}\}\} \\ &= \{\langle \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle, \langle \emptyset, \{\text{Fiji}\} \rangle, \langle \{\text{Benin}\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle \{\text{Benin}\}, \{\text{Fiji}\} \rangle\} \end{aligned}$$

(b) Is there any set A such that $\mathcal{P}(A) = \emptyset$? If so, give an example; if not, explain why not.

There is no such set. After all, $\emptyset \subseteq A$ and hence $\emptyset \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, for any set A .

(c) Show that $A - (C - A) = A$, no matter what sets A and C are.

First, suppose that $x \in A - (C - A)$, i.e. $x \in A$ but $x \notin (C - A)$. So in particular, $x \in A$.

Generalising on x , this shows that $A - (C - A) \subseteq A$.

Next, suppose that $x \in A$. Then $x \notin C - A$. So $x \in A - (C - A)$. Generalising on x , this shows that $A \subseteq A - (C - A)$.

By Extensionality, it follows that $A = A - (C - A)$.

END OF PAPER