## PHILOSOPHY TRIPOS Part II

Friday 1 June 2007

09.00 to 12.00

Paper 8

PHILOSOPHICAL LOGIC

Answer three questions only.

Write the number of the question at the beginning of each answer. If you are answering an either/or question, indicate the letter as well.

STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS 20 Page Answer Book x 1 Rough Work Pad

You may not start to read the questions

printed on the subsequent pages of this

question paper until instructed that you

may do so by the Invigilator

1	Is understanding a language a matter of grasping assertibility conditions?		
2	Either	(a)	Is translational indeterminacy just a special case of the underdetermination of theory by data?
	Or	(b)	Is reference inscrutable?
3	Either	(a)	Is meaning normative?
	Or	( <i>b</i> )	Is following a rule just a matter of having the right dispositions?
4	Either	(a)	Do indicative conditionals have truth-values?
	Or	( <i>b</i> )	What is meant by saying that a conditional is variably strict? Are counterfactuals variably strict conditionals?
5	Either	(a)	"Tom, Dick and Harry" denotes Tom, Dick and Harry.' Discuss.
	Or	( <i>b</i> )	'The idea that there is such a thing as a "plurality" is the misbegotten invention of a faulty logic.' Discuss.
6	Either	(a)	'Logical truths are analytically true.' Discuss.
	Or	( <i>b</i> )	Kant held that '7+5=12' is a synthetic a priori truth. Was he right?
7	'The number of Fs = the number of Gs if, and only if, the Fs and Gs are equinumerous.' Discuss.		

- 8 'Russell's attempt to derive mathematics from logic failed because he had to assume the axiom of reducibility, which is not a logical truth.' Discuss.
- 9 Are numbers fictions?
- 10 Is the assertion sign essential to logic?

## END OF PAPER