

**PHILOSOPHY TRIPOS Part IB**

---

Wednesday 25 May 2016

09.00 – 12.00

---

Paper 5

EARLY MODERN PHILOSOPHY

*Answer **three** questions only.*

*Write the number of the question at the beginning of each answer. If you are answering an either/or question, indicate the letter as well.*

**STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS**

*20 Page Answer Book x 1*

*Rough Work Pad*

**You may not start to read the questions  
printed on the subsequent pages of this  
question paper until instructed that you  
may do so by the Invigilator**

1. 'Locke's notion of substance is completely obscure.' Discuss.
2. Discuss Locke's and/or Leibniz's metaphors for explaining the origins of our ideas.
3. Whose arguments on innatism are stronger, Locke's or Leibniz's?
4. Leibniz held that 'every substance is like a complete world and like a mirror of God.' Discuss.
5. Did Leibniz solve the mind-body problem?
6. Describe and assess Berkeley's case against abstract ideas.
7. Could an atheist be a Berkeleian idealist?
8. Compare and contrast Berkeley's and Hume's attitudes towards spiritual substance.
9. EITHER (a) Discuss the difference between impressions and ideas according to Hume.  
  
OR (b) 'The idea of space is nothing but a copy of these colour'd points, and of the manner of their appearance.' (HUME) Discuss.
10. Was Hume a 'careless sceptic'?
11. Compare the views of two philosophers set for this paper on the nature and importance of mathematical knowledge.
12. Compare the views of two philosophers set for this paper on the nature of cause and effect.

END OF PAPER