Part IB Logic Class 1: Metatheory of propositional calculus I Prof Michael Potter 3pm Tuesday 28th January 2014 Mill Lane Room 10

Do not hand in your solutions in advance: write them out and bring them with you to the class. For explanations of the terminology used, consult the Metatheory lecture notes at http://people.ds.cam.ac.uk/tecb2/teaching.shtml.

Induction

- 1. Prove by induction that $n^3 n$ is divisible by *n* for every natural number *n*.
- 2. Prove by induction that $n^2 1$ is divisible by 8 for odd *n*.
- 3. The *least element principle* says that every non-empty set of natural numbers has a least element.
 - (a) Prove the least element principle from the principle of induction.
 - (b) Prove the principle of induction from the least element principle. What properties of natural numbers are you assuming in your proof?

Complexity

Use ' \Box ' to stand for any of the binary connectives of TFL. The *complexity* comp(A) of a sentence A of TFL is defined by

$$comp(\mathcal{A}) = 0 \text{ if } \mathcal{A} \text{ is atomic}$$
$$comp(\mathcal{A}\Box\mathcal{B}) = max(comp(\mathcal{A}), comp(\mathcal{B})) + 1$$
$$comp(\neg\mathcal{A}) = comp(\mathcal{A}) + 1$$

4. (a) Find the complexity of:

i.
$$(P \land (\neg Q \lor R));$$

ii. $(P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow (R \rightarrow S)))$
iii. $((P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow (R \rightarrow S))$

- (b) Let length(A) be the number of occurrences of connectives in A. Show that comp(A) ≤ length(A). Give examples to show that both equality and strict inequality may occur here.
- 5. Show by induction on complexity that every sentence of TFL has an even number of brackets.
- 6. Show by induction that if length(A) = *n* then A has at most 2n + 1 subsentences.
- Let atom(A) be the number of occurences of atomic sentences in A. Show that length(A) + atom(A) ≤ 2^{comp(A)+1} - 1.

Normal forms

- 8. Read Chapter 3 of Metatheory. Then consider the following sentences:
 - (a) $\neg (A \leftrightarrow B)$
 - (b) $(\neg (A \rightarrow B) \land (A \rightarrow C))$
 - (c) $((\neg (A \land \neg B) \to C) \land \neg (A \land D))$

For each sentence use both algorithms (by truth table, and by substitution) to write down sentences in DNF that are tautologically equivalent to these sentences.

Expressive adequacy

- 9. Which of the following lists of connectives are expressively adequate? Give reasons.
 - $\begin{array}{ll} (a) \ \neg, \lor, \land. \\ (b) \ \neg, \lor. \\ (c) \ \lor, \land, \leftrightarrow, \rightarrow. \end{array}$
 - (d) \uparrow .
 - (e) ↓.
 - (f) \neg , \leftrightarrow .

(For the definitions of \uparrow and \downarrow consult chapter 4 of Metatheory.)

10. Which binary connectives are expressively adequate on their own?