Paper 3

ETHICS

Answer **three questions only**.

Write the number of the question at the beginning of each answer. If you are answering an either/or question, indicate the letter as well.
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You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages of this question paper until instructed that you may do so by the Invigilator.
1. Either (a) Is there an ethically significant distinction between attributive and substantive responsibility?

Or (b) 'An action is voluntary only if there exists at least one acceptable alternative.' Discuss.

2. Is the possibility of moral reform necessary for moral responsibility?

3. Can the ethical distinction between actions and omissions be explained in terms of a distinction between positive and negative duties?

4. Can an otherwise permissible action be made impermissible by the agent's intention?

5. Do agents necessarily have reasons to do what they morally ought to do?

6. Either (a) How wrong can I be about what I have reasons to do?

Or (b) Does Hume have a convincing account of the role of reason in practical deliberation?

7. Either (a) 'Impartial moral theories are overly demanding.' Discuss.

Or (b) Are moral claims universalizable? If so, so what?

8. Are moral principles necessary for morality?

9. Does moral relativism justify a tolerant attitude towards other cultures?

10. Either (a) Does respect for autonomy entail a right to euthanasia?

Or (b) Can there be a duty to commit suicide?
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